PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PETR/SF/8625	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/014538	International filing date (day/month/year) 21 December 2004 (21.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24 December 2003 (24.12.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant GASPARRI, Duccio, Marco				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).			

	Date of issuance of this report 26 June 2006 (26.06.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt06@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT C ISA237-1 TION TREATY

EP0414538

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	REC'D 11 MAY 2005			
То:	PO WIPO POT			
see form PCT/ISA/220	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1)			
	Date of mailing			

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014538

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

24.12.2003

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F17/60

21.12.2004

Applicant

GASPARRI, Duccio Marco

1.	This opinion	contains	indications	relating	to the	following	items
	Tine opinion	0011600					

☐ Box No. II Priority

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Anastasov, Y

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2773



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014538

	Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With r	egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With r	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h C	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014538

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
\boxtimes	the entire international application,					
	claims Nos.					
bec	ause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleot not comply with the technical re	ide a quire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further of	letail	ls .			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/014538

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

No:

ns 1-19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-19

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014538

-- NO SEARCH reasoning --

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The claims relate to subject-matter for which no search is required according to Rule 39 PCT. Given that the claims are formulated in terms of such subject-matter or merely specify scientific/mathematical theories and commonplace features relating to its technological implementation, the search examiner could not establish any technical problem which might potentially have required an inventive step to overcome. Hence it was not possible to carry out a meaningful search into the state of the art (Art. 17(2)(a)(I) and (ii) PCT; see PCT International Search Guidelines, Chapter 9).

- 1. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 17(2)(a) PCT, and Rule 39.1(iii) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1 to 15 define a procedure for calculation of an administrative/business method and claims 16 to 19 a computer program for computerized processing of those calculations.
 - Interpreting claims 1-19 based on FIG. 1 to FIG. 3 and the description, only standard hardware components are illustrated, without essentially changing the network structure of the system (page 2, line third paragraph, general-purpose computer is used to perform the procedure). The claims 1-15 encompass embodiments for calculation of interests for entrustments of money that procedure steps are with no-technical or general technical meaning and serve merely procedure definitional purposes.
- 2. The examiner points out that the contribution of the present application apparently resides only in a computer-implementation of an administrative scheme that is, to define an administrative method/model for calculation of interests for entrustments of money (see page 1 page 3) and claims which merely specify commonplace features relating to the technological implementation of such method/model.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Separate Sheet) (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014538

In all claims 1-19, the procedure for calculating of interests is essentially implemented by general software programming. This is used to implement an administrative / business scheme, without changing the underling hardware/network. The administrative scheme is essentially implemented by software programming.

Having regard to the rather few technical aspects claimed in the application, the closest prior art appears to be a general purpose computer device as cited by the applicant on page 2 and end of page 8. Such a general purpose computer device form part of the common general knowledge.

The claimed subject-matter is distinguished therefrom by mathematical calculations, see page 5 to page 7, for implementing the essentially mathematical/administrative-related procedure.

From the point of view of the relevant person skilled in the art, the task of programming such a system/device (computer) is *per se* a normal and obvious aim and already forms part from the prior art.

It appears that the implementation of a method/model for calculation of interests for entrustments of money is a routine programming measure well within the reach of the skilled person.

Thus, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-19 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

3. Furthermore, no International Preliminary Examination is to be carried out according to Articles 34(4)(a)(I) and 34(4)(a)(ii) for subject-matter referring to a scientific and mathematical theories and to an administrative/business procedure for calculating an interests within the meaning of Rule 67.1(iii).